Polarization of Starlight: Optical/Near IR Observations & the Connection to sub-mm Surveys



•

Antonio Mario Magalhães

IAG Universidade de São Paulo

22/Ago/11

MFU III - Zakopane





2

Collaborators:

• Polarimetry Group - IAG, U. São Paulo

Aiara **Gomes** (Grad student) Nadili **Ribeiro** (Grad student)

Undergrads: Marcelo Rubinho, Daiane Seriacopi, Cássia Fernandez & Tibério Ferrari

Collaborators:

Elisabete **dal Pino** (IAG) Diego **Falceta-Gonçalves** (USP) Antonio **Pereyra** (ON-RJ) Cláudia **Rodrigues** (INPE/DAS) Alex **Carciofi** (IAG)

Marcelo **Borges** (ON-RJ) Armando **Domiciano** (Obs. Nice)



Other collaborators:

- Jean-Philippe Bernard & CESR team Frederick Poidevin
 ISM/PILOT, PLANCK
- Caroline **Bot**, U. StrasbourgSMC
- Karen & Jon Bjorkman, U. Toledo
 John Wisniewski, U. Washington
 Magellanic Cloud ISM, circumstellar disks
- Pris Frisch, U. Chicago
 B-G Andersson, SOFIA/USRA
 V. Piirola, U. Turku, Finland
 - □ Local ISM



4

Outline of the Talk

Basic Facts

- Starlight & Emission Polarization & the ISM
- Solar Neighbourhood
 - Local ISM vs. Heliosphere
- Galactic ISM
 - Southern IS Pol Survey at IAG-USP
 - Dark Clouds
 - □ Fields on small & large scales
 - High Galactic Latitudes
- ISM in Nearby Galaxies
 - □ SMC dust
 - SMC/LMC connection
- ISM & Stars
 - Relation between Envelopes & Ambient Field

- SOUTH POL
 - Survey of the Polarized Southern Sky
- Conclusions

^{22/Ago/11} Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



Sky in the sub-mm

Galactic Dust Emission





Basic Facts

- Polarization arises from
 - Dust grains
 - aligned by

- ISM's Magnetic Field, B



- Polarization provides info on
 - Dust properties
 - size distribution, composition
 - B component projected on the sky



Basic Facts

- Polarization arises from
 - Dust grains
 - aligned by

- ISM's Magnetic Field, B



- Polarization provides info on
 - Dust properties
 - size distribution, composition
 - B component projected on the sky

In the **Optical/NIR**: PA $/\!\!/ B_{sky}$ In the **Sub-mm**: PA $\perp B_{sky}$

7

Basic Facts

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11

MFU III - Zakopane

Optical/NIR Technique
 IAGPOL polarimeter

 Rotatable waveplate
 calcite prism
 detector (CCD or NIR array)





Basic Facts

- Optical/NIR Technique
 IAGPOL polarimeter

 Rotatable waveplate
 calcite prism
 detector (CCD or NIR array)
- Counts @ waveplate angles ψ_i : $Z_i = \frac{N_1 - N_2}{N_1 + N_2}|_i = Q \cdot \cos(4\psi_i) + U \cdot \sin(4\psi_i)$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{Q} = z_1 - z_3 + z_5 - z_7$$
$$\mathbf{U} = z_2 - z_4 + z_6 - z_8$$







Basic Facts

• Observational uncertainties

- Hiltner 1951, ApJ 114, 241:
 - □ p.e. = 0.0022 mag \Leftrightarrow σ = 0.15% (!) (photoelectric)
- Tinbergen 1982, A&A 105, 53:

 $\Box \sigma = .007\%$ (photoelectric, combining data)

- Carciofi, Magalhães 2007, ApJ 671, L49:
 - $\sigma = 0.002\%$ (CCD imaging, single obs)

 $(\sigma_{\theta} = 28.6 \sigma/P \text{ deg})$

• High accuracy now possible opens up interesting possibilities!





Basic Facts

B-field of the Galaxy from stellar (optical) polarization 0



Heiles (1996)

Mathewson & Ford 1970

- Center of curvature, R_{cc} , and direction of center, l_{cc} :
- \square R_{cc} = (8.8 +- 1.8) kpc, $l_{cc} = (-7.2^{\circ} + 4.1^{\circ})$
- (northern) Galactic Plane IR Polarization Survey (GPIPS) □ Clemens (2009) 11

Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane 22/Ago/11



Basic Facts

- B-field info from stellar polarization
- Statistical analysis (Fosalba et al. 2002)

$$\frac{P_{obs}}{P_{max}} = \frac{B_u^2}{B_u^2 + B_r^2} \approx 0.39 - 0.69$$

$$\implies \frac{B_u}{B_r} \approx 0.80 - 1.27$$

- also: Heiles (1996)
- Angular spectrum:

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{C}_{/} \propto /^{-1.5}$$

 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$ niform $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$ andom

- does reflect underlying polarized continuum
 CAVEAT EMPTOR: This is for
 Galactic Plane
- important for modeling Galactic polarized emission in sub-mm Cho & Lazarian (2010)

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane





Polarization by ISM Dust

• Optical polarization

- works w/ low $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{V}}$ (1 5 mag)
 - Ex., outskirts of dark clouds
- Sub-mm polarization
 - works w/ high **Av** (10 100)
 - Ex., central regions of dark clouds







Basic Facts

• Some Surveys of the Milky Way in the sub-mm

– WMAP

□ 23, 33, 41, 61 & 94 GHz, $\theta > 0.2^{\circ}$

- BICEPS

- Bierman et al. (2011)
- □ 100 GHz (0.93°), 150 GHz (0.6°), 220 GHz (0.42°)
- 3 seasons, 3 large areas of the Southern Sky

– Planck

LFI: 30 - 70 GHz, 12' (70GHz)/33'(30GHz)
HFI: 100 - 860 GHz, 5' (850GHz)/9.2'(100GHz)

- More to come: CMBPol (USA), COrE (ESA)

Basic Facts: B-field strength

Pereyra & Magalhaes 07

 Chandrasekhar & Fermi method

– C & F (53)

 Equipartition between kinetic & perturbed magnetic energies

+

isotropic rms velocity:

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho\delta V_{\rm LOS}^2 \sim \frac{1}{8\pi}\delta B^2$$

$$\Rightarrow B_{sky} + \delta B \approx \sqrt{4\pi\rho} \ \frac{\delta V_{los}}{tan(\delta\phi)}$$

Falceta-Gonçalves et al. (08)

Polarization PA distributions ⇒ **B estimates**

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



it only depends on the magnitude of the displacement, t, and not its orientation). We seek to determine how this quantity varies as a function of t.

To do so, we will assume that the magnetic field B(x)is composed of a large-scale structured field, $B_0(x)$, and a turbulent or random) component. Brand which are statistically independent. Wetwesophirnit oursel vest vectors servitered stiple cenerts where δ is the correlation length characterized where δ is the correlation length characterized the typical length scale for the scale for the second state of the telescope beam $(1,22\lambda/D)$ curve C: with Four single of the telescope beam $(1,22\lambda/D)$ curve displacements ℓ smaller than the larger of the telescope beam $(1,22\lambda/D)$ curve dispersion function to relation length δ (curve E). definite) almost linearly_starting-atoricaltes 0 and for small formal and rigorous derivation of Fountien Taylor displacements 4 expansion of any smoothly expansion of any smoothly varying quantity We denote by Bt the sloppogharacterizingstais linear behavior sherals have been and the sloppogharacterizing statistic and behavior sherals have been and behavior sherals have behavior sherals how behavior sherals h contribution from the furbulent appropriate that the magneticantele Bt (x actives monetribution with many verone were as in the design of the second secon the two libragnetic station of the transferred stight (h) this at the the the transferred state of the transferred state average the line particular this plube line he appendent the the methods lengthe bi enaral entries of the precisely, we expect that the turbulent contribution of dispersion function from a notariza constant, which we denote by B as following as $\ell_{M}(\ell)$, the to measurement uncertainties on the polarization angles $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ that must contributions must be combined quadration angles $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ that must be added (quadrationally) to Equation (ℓ^2). The square of the topal scale and turbulent fields are statistically independent, to yield

> $\langle \Delta (\Phi^2 \Phi^2) \rangle_{(a)} \simeq bb^2 + mm^2 \ell^2 + \sigma_M^2(\ell),$ (2) or, realistically,

when $\& \ll \ell \ll d$ The function $(\Delta \Phi^2(\ell))$ not $(\Delta \Phi^2(\ell))$ is the one calcule $\langle \Delta \Phi^2(\ell) \rangle_{tot} \simeq b^2 + m^2 \ell^2 + \sigma_M^2(\ell)$, (3) process similar to Equation (1), and will thus contain separate components due to the large-scale structure (i.e., $m\ell$), the turbulent dispersion about the large-scale field (i.e., b, the quantity we wish to measure), and measurement uncertainties

when $\delta < \ell \ll d$. The function $\langle \Delta \Phi^2(\ell) \rangle_{\text{tot}}$, not the one calculated from a polarization map (from a process similar to Equation (1), and will thus cont components due to the large-scale structure (i.e. and a turbulent dispersion about the large-scale field quantity we wish to measure), and measurement u (i.e., $\sigma_M(\ell)$).

> If there were no turbulence and no measurement u then, for $\ell \ll d$, the measured dispersion funcbe a straight line with zero intercept, $\langle \Delta \Phi(\ell)^2 \rangle_{tot}^{1/2}$ Figure 1, curve A). Taking the measurement uncerta



it only depends on the magnitude of the displacement, ℓ , and not its orientation). We seek to determine how this quantity varies as a function O_{f}

To do so, we will assume that the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$ is composed of a large-scale structured field, $B_0(x)$, and a turbulent or random) component Brand which are statistically independent. Wetween phim of oursels bed to crosses prolatered & displacements where δ is the correlation length characterizing \mathbf{B}_{t} the typical length scale for wariations, in Betwhy, σ_M . Curve C: with Fourth angeom Betwhe would expect to contribution and the accoments ℓ smaller than the larger of the telescope beam $(1.22\lambda/D)$: curve in function to the telescope beam $(1.22\lambda/D)$: curve the turbulent correlation length δ (surve E). dispersion tunctio definite) almost linearly_starting-atonfalves Q and of or small displacements $\ell \ll d$, as would be expected from the Taylor A more formal and rigorous derivation of Equation (2) is expansion of any smoothly varying quantity. We denote by ne the sloppogenergy entries this linear behavior shere here by nection: contribution from the furbulent appropriate that the magneticantele Bt (x actives monetribution with many verone were as in the design of the second secon the two.lioagnetic grant in the transferred shipted the total war her sure average that upportange the phase from poolst the thorne gue to a lengthebi in male (H2Ing Bd(x). More precisely, we expect that the turbulent contribution of dispersion function from a not ariza constant, which we denote by p as fong as $\ell_{M}(\ell)$, due to measurement uncertainties on the polarization angles $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ that must contributions must be combined quadration angles $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ that must be combined quadratic. The square of the target scale and turbulent fields are statistically independent, to yield

> $\langle \Delta (\Delta^2 \Phi^2) \rangle_{\ell_0} \rangle \simeq b b^2 + m m^2 l^2 + \sigma_M^2(\ell)$, (2) or, realistically,

when $\& \ll \ell \ll d$ The function $(\Delta \Phi^2(\ell))$ pot $(\Delta \Phi^2(\ell))$ is the one calcula $\langle \Delta \Phi^2(\ell) \rangle_{tot} \simeq b^2 + m^2 \ell^2 + \sigma_M^2(\ell)$, (3) process similar to Equation (1), and will thus contain separate components due to the large-scale structure (i.e., $m\ell$), the turbulent dispersion about the large-scale field (i.e., b, the quantity we wish to measure), and measurement uncertainties

when $\delta < \ell \ll d$. The function $\langle \Delta \Phi^2(\ell) \rangle_{\text{tot}}$, not the one calculated from a polarization map (from a process similar to Equation (1), and will thus cont components due to the large-scale structure (i.e. and a stication approximation of the large-scale field (i.e., $\sigma_M(\ell)$).

> If there were no turbulence and no measurement u then, for $\ell \ll d$, the measured dispersion funcbe a straight line with zero intercept, $\langle \Delta \Phi(\ell)^2 \rangle_{tot}^{1/2}$ Figure 1, curve A). Taking the measurement uncerta



turbulent dispersion at scales comparable to the ap

Note that
$$\sigma$$

uncertainties $\left(\frac{\langle B_t^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{B_0} = \frac{b}{\sqrt{2-b^2}}\right)^{1/2}$ y determined
ation angles $\langle \Delta \Phi(\ell)^2 \rangle_{\text{tot}}$, $B_0 \simeq \sqrt{(2-b^2)4\pi\rho} \frac{\sigma(v)}{b}$



Basic Facts: B-field strength

- Another approach
 - Motivation: sub-mm
 - Falceta-Gonçalves et al. (2008)
 - Hildebrand et al. (2009)





Basic Facts: B-field strength

- Another approach
 - Motivation: sub-mm
 - Falceta-Gonçalves et al. (2008)
 - Hildebrand et al. (2009)

- Construct **Dispersion Function**:

$$\left\langle \Delta \Phi^{2}\left(\ell\right)\right\rangle^{1/2} \equiv \left\{ \frac{1}{N\left(\ell\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{N\left(\ell\right)} \left[\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}\right) - \Phi\left(\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\ell}\right) \right]^{2} \right\}^{1/2} =$$

Table 1 Results for the Dispersion, the Turbulent-to-Mean Magnetic Field Strength Ratio, the Line Widths, and the Mean Field Strength						
Object	b ^a (deg)	$\langle B_{\rm t}^2 \rangle^{1/2} / B_0^{\rm b}$	$\frac{\sigma(v)}{(\text{km s}^{-1})}$	$B_0^{\rm c}$ (mG)		
OMC-1	8.3 ± 0.3	0.10 ± 0.01	1.85	3.8		
M17	10.4 ± 0.6	0.13 ± 0.01	1.66	2.9		
DR21(Main)	6.8 ± 1.3	0.08 ± 0.02	4.09	10.6		





Basic Facts: B-field strength

- Use of DF with optical data
- Ex. Pipe Nebula
 Franco/Alves/Girart (2010)





Basic Facts: B-field strength



22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



Basic Facts: B-field strength

Use of DM with optical data

Ex. Pipe Nebula
 Franco/Alves/Girart (2010)

Table 4 Structure Function Parameters for the Pipe Nebula							
a_0	a_1	δ_t	$(\delta B_t^2/B_0^2)^{\rm a}$	Field			
$\left(\frac{radian^2}{pc}\right)$	(radian ²)	(mpc)					
0.44	0.025	2.1	0.4	1-8			
0.08	0.021	4.8	0.2	9–19, 2			
0.38	0.054	≼2.1	0.8	20, 26			
0.01	0.008	4.4	0.1	28–4			
	Structure Function a_0 $\left(\frac{radian^2}{pc}\right)$ 0.44 0.08 0.38 0.01	Tal Tal Structure Function Param $\left(\frac{radian^2}{pc}\right)$ $(radian^2)$ 0.44 0.025 0.08 0.021 0.38 0.054 0.01 0.008	Table 4 Structure Function Parameters for t a_0 a_1 δ_t $\left(\frac{radian^2}{pc}\right)$ (radian^2) (mpc) 0.44 0.025 2.1 0.08 0.021 4.8 0.38 0.054 \leqslant 2.1 0.01 0.008 4.4	Table 4Structure Function Parameters for the Pipe Nebula a_0 a_1 δ_t $(\delta B_t^2/B_0^2)^a$ $\left(\frac{radian^2}{pc}\right)^a$ (radian^2)(mpc)0.440.0252.10.40.080.0214.80.20.380.054 \leqslant 2.10.80.010.0084.40.1			

Note. ^a Estimated for N = 30 (see the text).







Solar Neighbourhood

• Solar System and the Local ISM





22/Ago/11

Solar Neighbourhood

 IBEX probe: **Detection of the SS interaction with the Local ISM** - ring of energetic particles
 McComas et al. 2009

Solar Neighbourhood

• IBEX probe:

22/Ago/11

Magalhaes

Detection of the SS interaction with the Local ISM

- ring of energetic particles

Galactic Local Magnetic Field

MFU III - Zakopane

McComas et al. 2009

•

www.nasa.gov

IBEX and the heliosphere's boundary

MAAAS

HICE

Solar Neighbourhood

- Comparison with the Local ISM Magnetic Field
 - Starlight polarization within 40pc

T= (38°, 25°) (±33°) **IBEX**pole: = (33°, 55°)

time as Figure 3, except quantities are plotted in galactic coordinates 1 on the galactic center. The best fit to the ISMF in the galactic ystem, $B_{i=best}$, is directed toward ℓ , $b = 38^{\circ}, 23^{\circ}$.

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11

New polarization data are being gathered
in both Hemispheres

MFU III - Zakopane

Frisch et al 2010

Solar Neighbourhood

- **Comparison with the Local ISM Magnetic Field** 0
 - Starlight polarization within 40pc

IBEX pole:

ime as Figure 3, except quantities are plotted in galactic coordinates d on the galactic center. The best fit to the ISMF in the galactic ystem, $B_{i=best}$, is directed toward ℓ , $b = 38^{\circ}$, 23° .

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11

- New polarization data are being gathered in both Hemispheres

MFU III - Zakopane

same pole within errors!

27

Frisch et al 2010

Optical/IR Survey of ISM Polarization

- Conducted at IAG-USP
 - LNA observatory (22 deg South)
 - initially in V
 - now at H (1.65 μ m) band
 - point sources & extended objects
 - http://www.astro.iag.usp.br/~polarimetria/survey
 - Data being reduced & will become public

- Improve our knowledge of:
 - Magnetic Field Structure of the Diffuse ISM
 - Ratio between random & uniform components of B
 - Scale Length, L, of the Magnetic Field

Collapsing Dark Clouds

- Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds
 - B and grain alignment in expanding shells and fronts?
 - Role of B in cloud collapse?

Collapsing Dark Clouds

• Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds

Collapsing Dark Clouds

Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds

- What is the role of **B** in cloud collapse?
- Mapping the Musca Dark Cloud

Collapsing Dark Clouds

Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds

- What is the role of \mathbf{B} in cloud collapse?

- Mapping the Musca Dark 0 Cloud
 - Pereyra & Magalhães 04

े ह

10 have been plotted. The polarization scale is shown to the upper right of each map.

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane

Collapsing Dark Clouds

- Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds
 - What is the role of B in cloud collapse?
- Mapping the Musca Dark Cloud
 - Collapse along B
 □ |B| ~ 0.03 mG 0.15 mG
 □ M_{cloud} ~ 140 M_☉

Collapsing Dark Clouds

MFU III - Zakopane

22/Ago/11

Magalhaes

• Does Polarimetry Map the Field?

Collapsing Dark Clouds

• Does Polarimetry Map the Field?

Pereyra & Magalhaes 04

Polarization vector maps for the three regions in the MDC. The centers of each 1^{47} region are: (a) North, RA₂₀₀₀ = $12^{k}38^{m}03.11^{*}$, DEC₂₀₀₀ = $-70^{a}16'29.74^{*}$; (b) Central, RA₂₀₀₀ = $12^{k}30^{m}28.43^{*}$, DEC₂₀₀₀ = $-71^{a}16'34.44^{*}$; and (c) South, RA₂₀₀₀ = $12^{k}22^{m}53.13^{*}$, DEC₁₀₀₀ = $-72^{a}16'38.06^{*}$. Only the 1363 objects with $P/\sigma_{F} >$ 10 have been plotted. The polarization scale is shown to the upper right of each map.

Collapsing Dark Clouds

• Does Polarimetry Map the Field?

High Polarization associated w/ A_v~2-3mag

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



Collapsing Dark Clouds

• Does Polarimetry Map the Field?

Change in PA associated w/ A_v~2-3mag







Collapsing Dark Clouds

• Does Polarimetry Map the Field?

Near IR Polarimetry confirms optical PA





Sub-mm Polarization from Bok Globules

• CB3

- Basic data
 - □ 6.7x5.6 arcmin
 - □ d ~ 2.5 kpc
 - □ 2 embedded YSOs
 - intermediate mass star formation site



http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/rg/star_and_planet_formation/ young_stellar_objects.html



Sub-mm Polarization from Bok Globules

CB3 \bigcirc 45 □ Ward-Thomson et al. 2010 – Polarization maps 44 optical 43 □ sub-mm contours: 42 850µm (353 GHz) 41 – Stars near the border \square PA_{opt} \approx PA_{sub-mm} 56:40 - Optical: 39 □ Av ~ 0.3 - 1.8 – Sub-mm: 38 10 0:29:0 50 $\sim N \sim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \Rightarrow \text{Av} \sim 10^{-2}$





Sub-mm Polarization from Bok Globules





Polarization by ISM Dust

• Optical polarization

- works w/ low A_V (1 5 mag)
 - Ex., outskirts of dark clouds
- Sub-mm polarization
 - works w/ high **Av** (10 100)
 - Ex., central regions of dark clouds



22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane





Polarization by ISM Dust

• In summary:

- From Optical/NIR
 - □ B-field in the ISM & collapsing cloud periphery
- From JCMT, APEX, (LLAMA)...
 - B-field in the denser parts of the dark cloud

- From ALMA, SMA...(+ ALMA/LLAMA)
 - □ **B-field in cloud cores**



Collapsing Dark Clouds

- Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds
 - B and grain alignment in expanding shells and fronts?
 - Role of B in cloud collapse?





IRAS 100µm

Collapsing Dark Clouds

ζPup

y Vel

- Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds
 - B in expanding shells and fronts?
- Mapping the IRAS Vela Shell
 - Churchwell et al. 96 (in CS)









Collapsing Dark Clouds

Magnetic Field in Dark Clouds

- **B** in expanding shells and fronts?





Large Scale Magnetic Field

- 42 General ISM fields observed
 - 2-3 integrations/field
 - ~10² objects/field with $\sigma_{\rm p}/P \ge 10$

• Observed pointings





Large Scale Magnetic Field





Large Scale Magnetic Field





Large Scale Magnetic Field





Large Scale Magnetic Field

General ISM

•

Estimates of B-strength (preliminary)

Campo	AR2000 (h m s)	DEC2000 (o ' ")	P (%)	V (mag)	$\delta B \ (\mu G)$	$B_{sky} \operatorname{cold}/\operatorname{warm}(\mu G)$
06	7 55 52.85	$-28 \ 37 \ 46.56$	1.37	10	2.5	6.8(9)/6.8(9)
08	$08\ 25\ 39.19$	$-38 \ 46 \ 35.04$	1.96	10	2.5	6.8(5)/6.8(5)
09	$09\ 13\ 11.77$	$-50\ 06\ 25.20$	5.48	10.9	2.5	17.0(3)/17.1(3)
14	$12 \ 44 \ 25.53$	$-64\ 03\ 18.72$	2.9	10	2.5	10.8(9)/10.8(9)
18	$13 \ 29 \ 34.29$	$-61 \ 11 \ 35.88$	1.42	10	2.5	9.7(9)/9.7(9)
20	$15 \ 40 \ 22.52$	-45 46 50.52	2.04	10.7	2.5	18.0(5)/18.1(5)
22	$15 \ 55 \ 39.60$	-54 38 36.60	2.5	10.4	2.5	22(1)/22(1)

Marcelo Rubinho



Large Scale Magnetic Field

- Future:
 - Use of data w/ Parallax missions
 - Gaia:

 \Rightarrow 3D-Map of Galactic Magnetic Field







53

Small Scale Magnetic Field

• Open Clusters



- allow study of the **field structure on smaller scales**



Small Scale Magnetic Field

Open Clusters

к Crucis

- CCD Image with
- $\lambda/2$ -plate + calcite prism











Small Scale Magnetic Field

Open Clusters

k Crucis

- distance = 1900 pc
- angular decorrelation size for B_{unif}:

 $\alpha_0 \sim 8'$

\Rightarrow L \leq 4.6 pc







Small Scale Magnetic Field

Open Cl	Γ	Decorrelation length			
Cluster	l (o)	b (o)	Distance (pc)	L (') <	L (pc) <
C1115-624	292	-2	1240	5.5	2
C1250-600	303	3	1980	8	4.6
C1714-429	345	-3	1000	6.8	2
C1828-192	14	-4	620	21	3.8
C1836+054	36	5	480	33	4.6

$- L \preceq few pc$

- L « values from the General ISM data
 1 kpc (Jones et al. 92; Heiles 96)
 - not unexpectedly though...
 - not unexpectedly inoughin
- $L \approx$ values from Faraday rotation
 - □ from Extragalactic sources (Minter & Spangler 1996; Haverkorn 08)

- L: Input to CMB Foreground Polarization

L away from the Plane has to be determined

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane

Ferreira & Magalhães 2009



MHD Turbulence Simulations

- Supersonic, sub-alfvenic
- B on the plane of the sky
- Pol. vectors show the effects of B_{sky}

22/Ago/11

Magalhaes





Magalhaes

MFU III - Zakopane

22/Ago/11

MHD Turbulence Simulations



Falceta-Gonçalves, Gouveia Dal Pino





MHD Turbulence Simulations

• Polarization vs. Density



۲

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



MHD Turbulence Simulations

• Structure functions



Falceta-Gonçalves, Gouveia Dal Pino

•

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane

60



Optical/IR Survey of ISM Polarization

High Latitude Clouds

- <u>Regions</u> from COBE/DIRBE (Reach et al. 98)





High Latitude Clouds

Fields towards DIR313-29





63

• Optical/NIR Polarization of Starlight Polarization

General ISM - The IAG Survey

High Latitude Clouds

- By-products:
 - Zero-point of **P vs. column density**
 - Position angles away from the Plane
- Important for CMB!

^{22/Ago/11} Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



SMC Magnetic Field

- Early optical polarization observations
 - Mathewson & Ford (70)
 - Schmidt (76)
 - Magalhaes et al. (90)

⇒ Suggestion of a
Pan-Magellanic field



Magalhaes et al. (90)







SMC Magnetic Field

- Magnetic Field intensity
 - From dispersion of position angles:
 - $B_{sky} + \delta B \approx 5.2 \times 10^{-6} G$
 - $\hfill n\sim 10^{\text{-1}}\mbox{ cm}^{\text{-3}}$, $\delta V_{\text{los}}\sim 22\mbox{ km}\mbox{ s}^{\text{-1}}$
 - Estimating

 $\delta B\approx 3.5\times 10^{-6}~G$

Mao et al. (08, synchrotron): 3.2×10^{-6} G

 $\Rightarrow B_{sky} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-6} G$

Mao et al. (08, synchrotron): $(1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$ G

65

- Formal uncertainty not too bad ($\sim 20\%$)
 - □ but answer probably within a factor of a few...



SMC Magnetic Field

- On-going program
 - Imaging polarimetry
 - 8'x8' CCD fields

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11



MFU III - Zakopane



Gomes & Magalhães 2009

SMC Magnetic Field

• On-going program



22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane

 \bullet

 \bullet



SMC Magnetic Field

- On-going program
 - Imaging polarimetry
 - Preliminary results

SMC Magnetic field along SMC-LMC direction \Rightarrow





SMC Magnetic Field

MFU III - Zakopane

- On-going program
 - Imaging polarimetry
 - Preliminary results

SMC Magnetic field along SMC-LMC direction \Rightarrow

Poster by Aiara Gomes

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11





Net **Polarization**

⊥ to

disk orientation

Orientation of Stellar Envelopes

- Polarimetry of Herbig Ae/Be objects
 - Pre-MS, intermediate mass stars
 - Comparison of Polar. Position Angle with ISM Magnetic Field direction

- i.e., Introdução
 Envelope Orientation vs. ISM B-field
- Statistics of
 Δθ = Intrinsic PA ISM Pol PA can be done.







Orientation of Stellar Envelopes

• Polarimetry of Herbig Ae/Be objects

- **D** Statistics of
 - $\Delta \theta$ = Intrinsic PA ISM Pol PA

Rodrigues et al. 2009



22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



Orientation of Stellar Envelopes

• Polarimetry of Herbig Ae/Be objects



 $\Delta \theta$ = Intrinsic PA - ISM Pol PA

For the more highly polarized stars:

 $\Delta \theta \rightarrow \text{parallel}$

to ambient B-Field

Envelopes have memory of ISM B-field !








Orientation of Stellar Envelopes

- Origin of Earth's Magnetic Field?
 - Dynamo from Earth's rotation
 - Earth's rotation derived from Protosolar Nebula
 - Nebula probably had memory of ISM B field

MFU III - Zakopane

22/Ago/11

Magalhaes





SOUTH POL

• SOUTH POL:

- Survey of the Polarized Southern Sky in the Optical

• Goal:

- Polarimetric accuracy of 0.1% at V=15-16

- First epoch:
 - Sky South of Dec -15°
 - Completed in ~ 2 years
- It will steadly progress Northwards



Optical Polarization by Dust

- SOUTH POL:
 - Survey of the Polarized Southern Sky
 - □ Support: FAPESP



22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane





Instalation: 2012CTIO, Chile

Table 1: Summary of the performance of the T80 design

Performances of designAperture0.840 m diameter

1 por care			
Plate scale	55.56 arcsec/mm		
Focal length	3712 mm		
Field of view	110 mm (1.7°) with optimized image quality		
	155 nm (2.4°) with limited performances		
Image Quality	50% EE = 5 μ m / 0.28 arcsec (diameter)		
	80% EE = 13 μ m / 0.72 arcsec (diameter)		
Distortion	0.6%		

– CCD:

EEV, 9k x 9k, 92mm
2.0 deg² (!)

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane



SOUTH POL

• Polarimeter





SOUTH POL - How?...

• Combination of

- Southern 80cm Robotic Telescope in Chile
 - □ just funded by FAPESP
- Large field Imaging Polarimeter

□ 2.0 sq.deg.

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11





SOUTH POL - How?...

• Combination of

- Southern 80cm Robotic Telescope in Chile
 - □ just funded by FAPESP
- Large field Imaging Polarimeter

□ 2.0 sq.deg.

Magalhaes

22/Ago/11





Como?...

- High Galactic Latitude Clouds
 - Need V~15-16 in order to map B
 - Av~0.3 typically $\Rightarrow P_V \leq 3.A_V \sim 0.1-1.0\%$
 - For $P/\sigma_P = 5$,
 - if P~0.5%
 - $\Rightarrow \sigma_{\rm P} = 0.1\%$

Table 1. Polarimetric accuracy, in %, with the 80cm Telescope(*).

V (mag)	8×60 sec	8×300 sec
10	0.022	0.010
11	0.035	0.016
12	0.055	0.025
13	0.088	0.039
14	0.140	0.062
15	0.223	0.100
16	0.361	0.160
17	0.600	0.263
18	1.051	0.449
19	2.011	0.830

(*) For a 22mag/arcsec2 , air mass=1, readout noise=5e' .

۲

22/Ago/11 Magalhaes MFU III - Zakopane

80



SOUTH POL - Overall impact

Extragalactic Astronomy

- Many blazars will probably be discovered
- EGRET & FERMI sources down to V~19
 will be identified
- Magnetic Field structure of interacting systems
 eg., Magellanic Clouds





SOUTH POL - Overall impact

- Galaxy, Interstellar Medium & Star Formation
 - Magnetic Field structure of the Galaxy
 - □ with paralaxes from GAIA
 - □ Large (~kpc) & small (\leq pc) scales
 - Grain alignment theory

 Magnetic Field topology across Molecular Clouds
 From less dense regions (optical, SOUTH POL) to denser regions (sub-mm, Planck)



83

• Optical/NIR Polarization of Starlight Polarization

SOUTH POL - Overall impact

- Stellar Astrophysics
 - Statistics & Time evolution of explosive phenomena

- □ GRBs
- □ SNe

- Circumstellar environments
 - □ YSOs
 - Evolved objects
 - Galaxy & Magellanic Clouds

- Census of magnetic White Dwarfs



SOUTH POL - Overall impact

• Solar System

- Asteroids
 - Determinação de albedos, hence sizes
 - Inventory & size distribution
 - Curves of Polarization vs. phase:
 clarify population divisions among Main Belt, NEOs, etc.



SOUTH POL

• SOUTH POL

- unprecedented undertaking in the optical
- will impact several areas
 - from Cosmology to Solar System studies
- accuracy of 0.1% down to V=15-16
- will cover $-15^{\circ} < dec < 90^{\circ}$ in first 2 yrs



Conclusions

• Stellar polarimetry provides information on

- The general Galactic B field
 - □ at large scales ($\gtrsim 100 \text{ pc}$)
 - □ at small scales ($\leq 1 \text{ pc}$)
- B field structure in collapsing clouds of the ISM
- Relation of circumstellar disks & Ambient ISM field
- B field structure of Interacting galaxies (Magellanic Clouds)

- The Polarized Foreground for CMBR studies.