

Challenges in modeling the high-energy emission in the BL Lac object S5 0716+714



B. Rani¹, T.P. Krichbaum¹, B. Lott², M. Böttcher³, L.. Fuhrmann¹, J. A. Zensus¹

On behalf of the Fermi-LAT Collaboration

- 1. Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie (MPIfR), Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany
- 2. CEN de Bordeaux-Gradignan, 33175 Gradignan, France
- 3. Centre for Space Research, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

Objective of the project

The origin of the high-energy radiation from bright *Fermi* blazars has always been a key question in AGN physics. In particular, the location and the mechanism responsible for the highenergy emission and the relation between the variability at different wavelengths are not yet well understood. Therefore, it is important to investigate whether a correlation exists between optical and radio emissions, which are both ascribed to synchrotron radiation from relativistic electrons in a plasma jet. If the same photons are up-scattered to high energies, simultaneous variability features could be expected at optical – γ -ray frequencies. The external seed photons also have significant contribution in the origin of high-energy emission. But the observed flux variability often challenges such scenarios. We take the advantage of the broadband variability of the **BL Lac object S5 0716+714** over a time period of April 2007 to January 2011 to explore the physics of radiation mechanisms in the vicinity of the central black hole. The multi-frequency observations comprise monitoring gamma-rays by *FERMI-*LAT, X-rays by *Swift/X*RT, as well as optical and radio monitoring by several ground based telescopes. We found that the high-energy spectrum, especially in gamma-ray flaring states, in the source cannot be explained by using a one-zone synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model; a model including external Compton component generally does a better job in reproducing the entire spectral energy distribution SED (including the gamma-ray spectrum), if one uses an external radiation field dominated by Lyman-alpha emission from a putative broad-line region (BLR). The radiation field energy density of this external field varies between 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁵ erg cm⁻³, which is a factor of ~1000 lower than expected for a typical quasar. This is a reasonable value for a BL Lac like S5 0716+714, which is known to have a featureless spectrum (no prominent BLR). The combination of non-simultaneous GeV-TeV spectrum of the source shows absorption

Broadband Flux Variability

The source exhibits multi-flaring behavior (shown in the Figure) across the whole electromagnetic spectrum over this period. Flares appear on a timescale of ~60-70 days at optical and gamma-rays, which is followed by two major radio flares. An orphan X-ray flare is observed at X-rays during our observations.

The yellow lines in the Figure, represent the different modes of flaring activity for which we construct the broadband spectrum of the source (Time Bin 1 to 5).



Evolution of Radio Spectra

The evolution of the radio flares is tested in context of the shock-injet model (Marscher & Gear (1985). For the shock-induced flares, $S_{max} \sim v_{max}^{\ \epsilon}$, where the ϵ value depends upon the variation of physical quantities i.e. magnetic field (B), Doppler factor (δ) and energy of relativistic electrons. A comparison of the theoretically expected and our estimated values is given in the table below :

| Flare | Stage | E _{Calculated} | ε _{Expected} |
|-------|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| R6 | Compton | -7 | -2.5 |
| | Synchrotron | 0 | 0 |
| | Adiabatic | 10 | 0.7 |
| R8 | Compton | -0.9 | -2.5 |
| | Adiabatic | 1.8 | 0.7 |

The rapid rise and decay of flares in S_{max} vs v_{max} plane rule out the simple assumptions of a standard shock-in-jet model considered by **Marscher & Gear (1985)** with a constant Doppler factor (δ). For the two flares, we found that δ changes as a function of jet radius.



Curvature in the GeV-TeV Spectrum



Fermi high-state + MAGIC spectrum for the LBL S5 0617+714. The red curve represents H I + He II absorption and the blue one the full BLR absorption.

Broadband Spectral Modeling

The Figure shows the best fitted spectrum. SSC model is shown as a dashed curve; the combined model is the thick solid curves; the synchrotron component is dotted, the SSC component is dotdashed, and the EC components is shown by a thin dashed curve.



Summary

→ The evolution of the flares show a very steep rise and decay over the Compton and adiabatic stages with a slope too high to be expected from intrinsic variations, requiring an additional Doppler factor variation along the jet.

A combined one-zone synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model and a hybrid SSC plus external Compton model (Böttcher & Chiang 2002) better explains the broadband spectrum of the source. The external radiation field is found to be dominated by Ly- α from a putative broad line region (BLR) with an external field energy density ~10⁻⁵ ergs cm⁻³.

Quasi-simultaneous broad band spectrum of the BL Lac object S5 0716+714 observed on October 10, 2009 (Bin 3). *(Rani et al. 2013).*

Open Questions

BLR vs MT?

GeV spectral breaks (~3.5 GeV) favor location of emission within the broad-line region (BLR).

The broadband spectral modeling reveals that the external radiation field is dominated by Ly- α emission from the broad-line region.

The detection of 0716+714 at **TeV energies** favors external-Comptonization of molecular torus photons (MT).

The lack of detection of the thermal emission from BLR and/or MT challenges both of the above scenarios.

→ The low activity states of the source are well described by a pure SSC model, while, an EC contribution is required to reproduce the SEDs for high states.

→ An orphan X-ray flare challenges the one-zone emission models, rendering them too simple. We found that this flare has equal contributions from both the synchrotron and the high-energy (inverse Compton in a leptonic model interpretation) emission mechanisms.

References

 Böttcher, M. & Chiang, J. 2002, ApJ, 581, 127
Rani B., Lott B., Krichbaum, T. P. et al. 2013A, ASR, 51, 235
Rani B., Krichbaum, T. P., L. Fuhrmann et al. 2013B, A&A, 552, 11
Senturk, G.D., Errando, M., Boettcher, M., et al. 2011 [arXiv:1111.0378]