A cosmic-ray current driven instability in parallel shocks

Brian Reville

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg

KINETIC MODELING OF ASTROPHYSICAL PLASMAS Krakow, 5-9 October 2008



- ► We consider the region upstream of a quasi-pll shock
- 3-component plasma
- relativistic beam of protons (Γ_b) along zeroth order field
- thermal electron/proton distribution $k_b T/mc^2 = \Theta \ll \Gamma_b$
- linear dispersion relation for circularly polarised waves
- plasma susceptibility:

$$\omega^{2}\chi \approx \frac{\omega_{pb}^{\prime}^{2}\omega^{\prime}}{\epsilon\omega_{c}} - \frac{\omega_{pb}^{\prime}^{2}\omega^{\prime}}{\epsilon\omega_{c} + \omega^{\prime}} + \frac{c^{2}\omega^{2}}{v_{A}^{2}} + \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}\omega}{\epsilon\omega_{c}^{3}}\left(c^{2}k^{2} - \omega^{2}\right)\left\langle u_{\perp}^{2}\right\rangle_{p}$$
$$\omega\Theta_{j}, ck\Theta_{j} \ll |\omega_{cj}|$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

 Neglecting thermal effects, and provided Γ_bβ²(n_b/n_p)(ω_{pp}/ω_{cp})² ≫ 1 maximum growth rate of

$$\mathrm{Im}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n_{\mathrm{b}}}{n_{\mathrm{p}}} \beta_{\mathrm{b}} \omega_{\mathrm{pp}}$$

Same result as Bell 2004

• Including thermal effects, if $\Theta_p \gg v_A/c$

$$\mathrm{Im}(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(\frac{n_{\mathrm{b}}}{n_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{A}}}{c}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{pp}}}{\omega_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{\beta_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}}{\langle u_{\perp}^{2} \rangle}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \omega_{\mathrm{c}}$$

May provide saturation mechanism in relativistic plasmas



cf. BR, Kirk & Duffy 2006, PPCF

saturation when currents associated with waves:

$$|\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}| \approx 4\pi n_{cr} e\beta$$

•
$$k \sim 1/r_g$$
 - saturated field energy

$$\frac{B_w^2}{8\pi} = \frac{1}{2} n_{cr} \Gamma_b m_p c^2$$

- Entire energy of the beam goes into magnetic field production
- How do we include this in acceleration models?

Cosmic-ray modified shocks



escaping particles drive magnetic field growth

Steady-state solutions

coupled hydrodynamic - kinetic equations



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Injection efficiency $\nu - R$ diagram



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Maximum momentum

- what is the location of escape boundary transition zone from weak to strong turbulence
- $L_{\rm esc} = \kappa(p^*)/u_0$ determines maximum confined energy
- diffusive current at escape boundary drives nonresonant instability

$$j_{\rm cr}(-L_{\rm esc}) = -4\pi e \int_{p_0}^{\infty} \kappa \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} p^2 \mathrm{d}p$$

- ▶ How do we determine *L*_{esc} in a self-consistant manner?
- ► Calculate CR flux (and *p**) from numerical SS solution
- Transition zone determined from condition $L_{adv} \equiv u_{sh}/\Gamma_{max} \sim L_{esc}$

Maximum momentum





 Maximum energy can be calculated as a function of injection parameter

Summary

- Efficient acceleration of particles at collisionless shocks connected with magnetic field amplification
- nonresonant mode (Bell 2004) appears to be of greatest importance in efficient cosmic-ray accelerating shocks
- reduced diffusion coefficients leads to more rapid acceleration
- ► field amplification incorporated using free escape boundary L_{esc}
- maximum energy determined by boundary position calculated self-consistently
- system is self-organising maximum energy function of injection